

Oscillatory Criterion for Nonlinear Conformable Fractional Differential Equations

WANG Peng ZHENG Zhao-wen

(School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China)

Abstract In this paper, we give an oscillation criterion of interval type for second order nonlinear conformable fractional differential equations. This oscillation criterion relies on information of the coefficients on a subsequence of the positive half-line, rather than the whole positive half-line.

Key words Conformable fractional differential equation; oscillation criterion; Riccati transformation

0 Introduction

Fractional differential equations are used in various fields such as physics, mathematics, biology, biomedical sciences, finance as well as other disciplines (see [2,7]). In the last few decades, many people have been studying fractional differential equations. There are many definitions in the existent literature, such as the Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Riesz, Riesz-Caputo, Weyl, Grunwald-Letnikov, Hadamard, and Chen derivatives. In 2004, R. Khalil et al. (see [4]) have suggested a new fractional derivative, which is called conformable derivative. This new definition has a lot of very nice properties, many properties that are consistent with the standard derivative, such as the definition itself, the chain rule that we are familiar with. In this paper, we shall investigate the oscillation criterion for the second order nonlinear conformable fractional differential equation

$$(p(t)y^{(\alpha)}(t))^{(\alpha)} + q(t)f(y(t)) = e(t), t \geq t_0 > 0, \quad (1)$$

where $p \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, $q, e \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

A nontrivial solution of equation (1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zero; and otherwise it is said to be non-oscillatory. Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if its all solutions are oscillatory.

When $\alpha = 1$, we have the following second-order differential equation

$$(p(t)y'(t))' + q(t)y(t) = e(t), \quad (2)$$

Many papers involve the oscillation for equation (2) and other linear, nonlinear, damped, forced differential equations or Hamiltonian systems (see [3,8,9,10]) since the foundation work of Winter [10].

In this paper, we give a new type of oscillation criterion for equation (1), this oscillation criterion relies information of the coefficients on a sequence of interval on $[t_0, \infty)$, rather than on the whole interval.

1 Main results

For the sake of convenience, we give some definitions and properties of conformable fractional deriva

tives and integral, which are important in the proofs of the main result. We begin with the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 1 The left conformable fractional derivative starting from t_0 of a function $f: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ of order α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is defined by

$$(T_{t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = f^{(\alpha)}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \varepsilon(t-t_0)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\varepsilon},$$

when $\alpha = 1$, this derivative of $f(t)$ coincides with $f'(t)$. If $T_{t_0}^\alpha$ exists on (t_0, t_1) then

$$(T_{t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} f^{(\alpha)}(t).$$

Definition 2 Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The left conformable fractional integral of α starting at t_0 is defined by

$$(I_{t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = \int_{t_0}^t (s-t_0)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds = \int_{t_0}^t f(s) d_{t_0}^\alpha s.$$

If the conformable fractional integral of a given function exists, we call it is α -integrable.

Lemma 1 (see [1]) If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in ([t_0, \infty), R)$, then for all $t \geq t_0 > 0$, we have

$$I_{t_0}^\alpha (T_{t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = f(t) - f(t_0), \text{ and } T_{t_0}^\alpha (I_{t_0}^\alpha f)(t) = f(t).$$

Lemma 2 (see [4])

- (1) $T_{t_0}^\alpha (af + bg) = aT_{t_0}^\alpha (f) + bT_{t_0}^\alpha (g)$ for all real constant a, b ;
- (2) $T_{t_0}^\alpha (fg) = fT_{t_0}^\alpha (g) + gT_{t_0}^\alpha (f)$;
- (3) $T_{t_0}^\alpha (t^p) = pt^{p-\alpha}$, for all p ;
- (4) $T_{t_0}^\alpha \left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{gT_{t_0}^\alpha (f) - fT_{t_0}^\alpha (g)}{g^2}$;
- (5) $T_{t_0}^\alpha (c) = 0$, where c is a constant.

Lemma 3 (chain rule, see [1, 4, 5]) Assume $f, g: (a, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be (left) α -differentiable functions, where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let $h(t) = f(g(t))$. Then $h(t)$ is (left) α -differentiable and for all t with $t \neq a$ and $g(t) \neq 0$ we have $(T_a^\alpha h)(t) = f'(g(t))(T_a^\alpha g)(t)$. If $t = a$ we have $(T_a^\alpha h)(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} f'(g(t))(T_a^\alpha g)(t)$.

Lemma 4 (see [5]) Let $f, g: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow R$ be two functions such f, g is α -differentiable. Then

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s)g^{(\alpha)}(s)d_{t_0}^\alpha s = f(s)g(s) \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} g(s)f^{(\alpha)}(s)d_{t_0}^\alpha s.$$

Lemma 5 (see [6]) Suppose X and Y are nonnegative. Then

$$\lambda XY^{\lambda-1} - X^\lambda \leq (\lambda - 1)Y^\lambda, \lambda > 1,$$

where equality holds if and only if $X = Y$.

For $a, b \in R$ such that $a < b$, let

$$D(a, b) = \{u \in C^1[a, b]: u^2(t) > 0, t \in (a, b), u(a) = u(b) = 0\}.$$

Let $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R)$ be a positive function. For given $f \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$ as in [11], we define an integral operator A_a^b in terms of $H \in D(a, b)$ and ρ as

$$A_a^b(f; t) = \int_a^b H^2(t)\rho(t)f(t)d_a t, a \leq t \leq b.$$

By a direct calculation, we see that A_a^b has the following properties

$$A_a^b(\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2; t) = \alpha_1 A_a^b(f_1; t) + \alpha_2 A_a^b(f_2; t); \quad (3)$$

$$A_a^b(f; t) \geq 0 \text{ whenever } f \geq 0; \quad (4)$$

$$A_a^b(f^{(\alpha)}; t) = -A_a^b\left(\left[2\frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{\rho}\right]f; t\right) \geq -A_a^b\left(\left|2\frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{\rho}\right| |f|; t\right), \quad (5)$$

where $f_1, f_2, f \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$, $g \in C^1([t_0, \infty), R)$ and α_1, α_2 are real numbers.

Theorem 1 Assume $\frac{f(u)}{|u|^\beta \operatorname{sgn} u} \geq \delta > 0$ for some constant β with $\beta > 1$. Suppose further that for any $T \geq T_0$, there exist $T \leq s_1 < t_1 \leq s_2 < t_2$ such that $e(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in [s_1, t_1]$ and $e(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [s_2, t_2]$. If there exist $H \in D(s_i, t_i)$ and a positive function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$A_{s_i}^{t_i}(Q_e; t) > A_{s_i}^{t_i}\left(p \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{\rho} \right|^2; t\right). \tag{6}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where

$$Q_e(t) = \beta(\beta - 1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} [\delta q(t)]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |e(t)|^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}},$$

then equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof Suppose to the contrary that there exists a nontrivial solution $y(t)$ of equation (1) which is not oscillatory. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y(t) > 0$ on $[T_0, \infty)$ for some $T_0 \geq t_0$. Set

$$V(t) = \frac{p(t)y^{(\alpha)}(t)}{y(t)}. \tag{7}$$

Then differentiating (7) and making use of equation (1), it follows that for all $t \geq T_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(\omega)}(t) &= \frac{y(t)[e(t) - q(t)f(y(t))]}{y^2(t)} - \frac{p(t)[y^{(\alpha)}(t)]^2}{y^2(t)} \\ &= \frac{-q(t)f(y(t))}{|y(t)|^{\beta-1}} |y(t)|^{\beta-1} + \frac{e(t)}{y(t)} - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)} \leq -\delta q(t) |y(t)|^{\beta-1} + \frac{e(t)}{y(t)} - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By our assumption, we can choose $t_1 > s_1 \geq T_0$ so that $e(t) \leq 0$ on the interval $I_1 = [s_1, t_1]$. For given $t \in I_1$, set $F(x) = \delta q(t)x^{\beta-1} - \frac{e(t)}{x}$, we have $F'(x^*) = 0, F''(x^*) > 0$, where $x^* = \left[\frac{-e(t)}{(\beta-1)\delta q(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$. So $F(x)$ attains its minimum at x^* and

$$F(x) \geq F(x^*) = Q_e(t), \tag{9}$$

So (8) and (9) imply that $V(t)$ satisfies

$$Q_e(t) \leq -V^{(\omega)}(t) - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)},$$

Applying the operator $A_{s_1}^{t_1}$ to (8), using the fact that $H(s_1) = H(t_1) = 0$ and (5), we obtain

$$A_{s_1}^{t_1}(Q_e(t); t) \leq A_{s_1}^{t_1}\left(-V^{(\omega)}(t) - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)}; t\right) \leq A_{s_1}^{t_1}\left(2 \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{2\rho} \right| |V(t)| - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)}; t\right). \tag{10}$$

Let $X = \frac{|V|}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \lambda = 2, Y = p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{2\rho} \right|$. By Lemma 5, we obtain

$$2|V| \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{2\rho} \right| - \frac{V^2(t)}{p(t)} \leq p \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{2\rho} \right|^2, \tag{11}$$

Then in view of (10), (11) and the properties (3) as well as (4), we see that

$$A_{s_1}^{t_1}(Q_e; t) > A_{s_1}^{t_1}\left(p \left| \frac{H^{(\alpha)}}{H} + \frac{\rho^{(\alpha)}}{2\rho} \right|^2; t\right),$$

which is contrary to (6). On the other hand, if $y(t)$ is a negative solution for $t \geq T_0 > t_0$, we define the Riccati transformation (7) to yield (8). In this case, we choose $t_2 > s_2 \geq T_0$ so that $e(t) \geq 0$ on the interval $I_2 = [s_2, t_2]$. For given $t \in I_2$, set $F(x) = \delta q(t)x^{\beta-1} - \frac{e(t)}{x}$, we have $F(x) \geq F(x^*) = Q_e(t)$. The remaining proof is similar hence we omit the details. This completes our proof.

References

- [1] Abdeljawad T. On conformable fractional calculus [J]. Comput Appl Math, 2015, 279: 57-66.
- [2] Diethelm K. The analysis of fractional differential equations [M]. Berlin: Springer, 2010.
- [3] Kamenev I V. Integral criterion for oscillation of linear differential equations of second order [J]. Math Zemetki, 1978, 23: 249-251 (in Russian)
- [4] Khaill R, Alhorani M, Yousef A, et al. A new definition of fractional derivative [J]. Comput Appl Math, 2014, 264: 65-70.
- [5] Lazo M J, Torres D F M. Variational calculus with conformable fractional derivative [J]. IEEE/CAA J Automatica Sinica, 2016, 4(2): 340-352.
- [6] Hardy G H, Littlewood J E, Polya G. Inequalities [M], 2nd Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [7] Podlubny I. Fractional differential equations [M]. San Diego: Academic Press, 1999.
- [8] Shao J, Meng F. New interval oscillation criteria for certain linear Hamiltonian systems [J]. Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, 2013, 784279, 5.
- [9] Wang Q, Xu Y, Mathsen R M. Riccati techniques and oscillation for self-adjoint matrix Hamiltonian systems [J]. Comput Math Appl, 2009, 58(6): 1211-1222.
- [10] Wintner A. A criterion of oscillatory stability [J]. Quart Appl Math, 1949, 7: 115-117.
- [11] Cakmak D, Tiryaki A. Oscillation criteria for certain forced second order nonlinear differential equations [J]. Appl Math Lett, 2004, 17: 275-279.

非线性整合分数阶微分方程的振动性判据

王 鹏 郑召文

(曲阜师范大学 数学科学学院, 山东 曲阜 273165)

摘 要 本文给出了一类二阶非线性整合分数阶微分方程的振动性判据, 这一判据只依赖于系数函数在正半轴的一系列子区间上的性质.

关键词 整合分数阶微分方程; 振动性; Riccati 变换